UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Spring 2026 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Boomhower, Judson P)

Enrollment: 232
Responses: 8 (3.45% response rate)

Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
87.5% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	8 (100.00%)



7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

> Allowing open questions on the topic

> I love the humor and light heartedness he brought to discussion. It encouraged me to ask more questions.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

> Asking for clarification of my understanding


9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

> I would suggest going through problems that are challenging for students in the past


10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

> Jordi was the GOAT. I would want him to be in all my Econ classes. In my opinion, HE should be teaching this course. Professor J is good, but not as good as Jordi's discussions. I was sat watching from my dorm (or in class). He opened the room to questions! Awesome TA!

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?




12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




No Response: 1

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?




No Response: 2

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?




No Response: 2

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?



No Response: 2

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



No Response: 2

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Spring 2025 ECON 142 - Behavioral Economics (Trevino, Isabel)

Enrollment: 11
Responses: 3 (27.27% response rate)

Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)



7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

> He has a very funny and relatable lecturing style that makes me comfortable to answer the question or say that I don't know the answer.

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

> For the midterm and final review, he created these amazing summary slides and questions that really helped me prepare and consolidate all my understanding of the material


9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

[No Responses.]


10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

Super nice!


11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?




12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?




14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?



15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?



16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Spring 2025 ECON 142 - Behavioral Economics (Trevino, Isabel)

Enrollment: 13
Responses: 3 (23.08% response rate)

Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
66.7% Positive	**4** ± 0.82 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	3 (100.00%)




2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.33** ± 0.47 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	3 (100.00%)




3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.33** ± 0.47 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	3 (100.00%)




4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.67** ± 0.47 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)




5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.33** ± 0.47 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	3 (100.00%)



6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.67** ± 0.47 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	3 (100.00%)



7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

[No Responses.]

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

> The Midterm Guide created was helpful. What would help more is more questions and practice with the material.


9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

[No Responses.]


10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

[No Responses.]


11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?




12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?




13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?




14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?



15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?



16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?



The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Summer Session 1 2022 ECON 120C - Econometrics C (Wuthrich, Kaspar)

Enrollment: 59
Responses: 9 (15.25% response rate)


Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.89** ± 0.31 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
77.8% Positive	**4.5** ± 0.71 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
88.9% Positive	**4.56** ± 0.68 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.




6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.78** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	9 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

> This is my second or third time with Jordi, he is a great TA always very helpful and absolutely great instructor. He is highly knowledgeable and understanding.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Spring 2022 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Tocoian, Oana)

Enrollment: 291
Responses: 4 (1.37% response rate)


Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
75% Positive	**4.33** ± 0.47 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.




3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
50% Positive	**4** ± 0.82 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.




6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).




10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
50% Positive	**4** ± 0.82 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
75% Positive	**4.33** ± 0.47 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)




13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)



14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.25** ± 0.43 (Agree)	4 (Agree)	4 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

[No Responses.]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

UCSD

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Jordi Martinez Munoz
Department of Economics


Winter 2022 ECON 100B - Microeconomics B (Tocoian, Oana)

Enrollment: 259
Responses: 17 (6.56% response rate)

Scoring Methodology
A numerical value is assigned to each response in the standard Likert Scale used for evaluations: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Disagree (2), Strongly Disagree (1). Responses marked "No Opinion/Not Applicable" are excluded from mean calculations.


1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
50% Positive	**4.75** ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1


2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.



No Response: 1

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
50% Positive	**5** ± 0.00 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1


4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
86.7% Positive	**4.47** ± 0.72 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	15 (88.24%)



No Response: 2


5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.



No Response: 1

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	**4.87** ± 0.34 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.75** ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1


8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
93.8% Positive	**4.75** ± 0.56 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1


9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).



No Response: 1

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.3% Positive	**4.85** ± 0.36 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.3% Positive	**4.77** ± 0.42 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
81.3% Positive 6.3% Negative	**4.38** ± 0.93 (Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.


% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.75** ± 0.43 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	16 (94.12%)



No Response: 1

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

% Positive/Negative	Mean (SD)	Median	Response Count
100% Positive	**4.88** ± 0.32 (Strongly Agree)	5 (Strongly Agree)	17 (100.00%)



15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

> I looked up how to evaluate IA's, just so I could write about how organized and clear Jordi is. He is truly an incredible teacher and I wish I could have him for more of my classes. I understood ideas I didn't know before attending his review session and discussion section.

> I really liked his discussion style where he would very explicitly walk us through the steps for each problem in the problem sets. My pet peeve is when professors or TAs skip steps or take shortcuts when explaining complex problems, but Jordi did the exact opposite and was very thorough in his descriptions, and it really helped me understand the material better.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.